

JAMES L. JACOBS, State Bar No. 158277
ROBERT W. LUCKINBILL, State Bar No. 131977
GCA LAW PARTNERS LLP
1891 Landings Drive
Mountain View, CA 94043
Telephone: (650) 428-3900
Facsimile: (650) 428-3901

Attorneys for Defendant
DRS Tactical Systems, Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

PALPILOT INTERNATIONAL
CORPORATION, a California corporation

Plaintiff,

vs.

DRS TACTICAL SYSTEMS, INC., a
Florida corporation, and DOES 1 to 10,
inclusive

Defendant

C07 02481

NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION
PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b)
[DIVERSITY]

Santa Clara County
Superior Court
Case No. 107CV0833091

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a), defendant DRS
Tactical Systems, Inc. ("DRS Tactical"), a Florida corporation, hereby removes this action
to this Court. In support of the removal of this action, DRS Tactical states the following:

1. On April 3, 2007, an action was commenced in the Superior Court of the
State of California in and for the County of Santa Clara, entitled Palpilot International
Corporation, a California corporation vs. DRS Tactical Systems, Inc., a Florida
corporation, as Case Number 107CV0833091.

1 2. The first date upon which defendant DRS Tactical received a copy of the
2 complaint ("Complaint") in the aforesaid action was April 9, 2007, when defendant
3 received a copy of the Complaint and a summons from the said state court. Pursuant to 28
4 U.S.C. § 1446(a), a copy of the Complaint and all other documents that plaintiff Palpilot
5 International Corporation ("Palpilot") has purportedly served on DRS Tactical are annexed
6 hereto collectively as "Exhibit A."

7 3. This Notice of Removal is filed within 30 days after service of the
8 Complaint upon DRS Tactical, in compliance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).

9 4. BASIS FOR FEDERAL JURISDICTION: This Court has original
10 jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332. Jurisdiction exists because at all
11 relevant times, the parties have been and are, respectively, citizens of different states and
12 the amount in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds \$75,000.

13 a) Defendant DRS Tactical is, and was at the time of the filing of this
14 action, a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Florida, with its principal
15 place of business located in Melbourne, Florida. Defendant is informed and believes that
16 plaintiff was, at the time of the filing of this action, and still is, a corporation organized
17 under the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business located in
18 Santa Clara, California.

19 b) Plaintiff's Complaint states that plaintiff seeks compensatory
20 damages of \$381,539.24, plus interest. This amount of damages sought exceeds the
21 \$75,000 threshold.

22 5. DRS Tactical is not a citizen of the State of California. Accordingly, this
23 action may be removed to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b).

24 6. INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT: Assignment of this matter to the San
25 Jose Division is appropriate by virtue of the fact that it has been removed from the Superior
26 Court of California for the County of Santa Clara.

1 7. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), DRS Tactical will file a copy of this
2 Notice of Removal with the Clerk of the Superior Court of Santa Clara County, and will
3 serve a copy of this Notice on counsel for Plaintiff.

4 Dated: May 8, 2007

GCA LAW PARTNERS LLP

By 

James L. Jacobs

Attorneys for Defendant
DRS Tactical Systems, Inc.